

ojo

discrimination. Herein, Plaintiff maintains that the above acts and conduct of Defendant's employees, including Defendant's supervisory personnel, clearly evidences Defendant's discrimination against Plaintiff by virtue of Plaintiff's national origin. However, this discriminatory intent is further demonstrated by the statement of Mr. Humburg to Mr. Myers that he did not like people of Hispanic origin. On page 158 of Mr. Myers' deposition, he stated that Mr. Humburg advised him of this fact at a time much prior to Plaintiff's employment with Envirodyne Engineers. (It should be noted that Mr. Humburg tried to avoid the incriminatory nature of this statement by contradicting Mr. Myers and stating in Court that the statement was made after Plaintiff left Defendant's employ.) Mr. Myers, armed with the knowledge of Mr. Humburg's dislike for people of Hispanic origin, and recognizing that Mr. Humburg was second in command within Defendant's laboratory, nevertheless failed to take any reasonable steps whatsoever to deter the blatant and continuous discrimination that Plaintiff was suffering within Defendant's laboratory.

III. Conclusion

The above facts clearly demonstrate Defendant's discrimination of Plaintiff by virtue of his Columbian national origin. The admission by Plaintiff's supervisor, Mr. Paul Myers, that he knew Mr. Humburg did not like people of Hispanic (Columbian) origin is shocking evidence of Defendant's intent to